· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/697,701	IKENAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) <u>Quang N. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. David A. Spenard (Reg. No. 37,449).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 September 2007.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4,5 and 12-15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)∏ was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner proposed an into independent claims in order to advance the prosecution	<u>Examiner's Amendment</u>	eed to if an agreement was to incorporate missing limitations
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIRequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR T TERVIEW SUMMARY F	Iready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
•	•	
	No	nyel
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner	's signature, if required